Q. What did you do in this case?

A. Well, in this case, when we got Mr. Gilbert's deed, recorded in liber 779, folio 62, we found that there was a metes and bounds description that omitted one line; and that it did appear that it was a good deed even though the one line was omitted.

Subsequent courthouse work, we traced this deed back to when this lot was originally created in liber 326, folio 168. This metes and bounds description of the said Gilbert property included all four lines and mathematically was a good deed, considering the time of the survey.

- Q. And from that work, did you prepare a boundary survey, Gilbert survey, which has been marked for identification purposes Plaintiffs' (sic.) Exhibit Number 1?
  - A. That is correct.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Ask if you can identify that.
- A. Yes, this is the plat J. F. Brown & Associates prepared for Mr. Gilbert.
- Q. Was this plat prepared from deed descriptions, from what you found in the courthouse, and also from field work?
- A. Right. This plat represents our field survey, as well as a resurvey of the property in that area (indicating), showing also the original deed lines in the initial deed in the Gilbert claim.
  - Q Does this accurately show the outline of the Gilbert